Synthesis and reactions of a chelated carbene-olefin complex of cyclopentadienyliron

Daniel L. Reger* and Stephen A. Klaeren

Department of Chemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208 (U.S.A.) (Received July 15th, 1987)

Abstract

Heating CpFe(CO)₂(η^1 -CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂) in CH₃NO₂ yields CpFeCO(η^1 - $\overline{COCH}_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{3}$) in an approximate equimolar mixture with the starting material. Separation and recycling of starting material leads to isolation of the cyclic acyl in 83% yield. Alkylation of this acyl complex with Et₃OBF₄ yields the olefin-carbene complex $[CpFeCO(\eta^{1}-C(OEt)CH_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})]BF_{4}$. Reduction of this carbene complex with NaBH₄ in the presence of base yields $CpFeCO(\eta^{1})$ - $CH(OEt)CH_2CH_2CH_=CH_2$). Treatment of this complex with trimethylsilyl triflate at -78° C yields an unstable complex tentatively assigned as the alkylidene-olefin complex [CpFeCO(η^1 -CHCH₂CH₂CH=CH₂)][CF₃SO₃]. Reaction of either PhLi or Ph₂CuCNLi₂ and [CpFeCO(η^1 -C(OEt)CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂)]BF₄ yields CpFeCO(η^1 - $\overline{C(OEt)}$ =CHCH₂CH=CH₂). It did not prove possible to form analogous cyclic complexes starting with CpFe(CO)₂(η^1 -CH₂CH₂C=CH), CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^1 - $CH_2CH_2Un)$ or $CpFeCO(PPh_3)(\eta^1 - COCH_2CH_2Un)$ (Un = CH=CH₂, C=CH). The reaction of the CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^1 -COCH₂CH₂Un) complexes with Et₃OBF₄ followed by reduction with NaBH₄ in the presence of base yields $CpFeCO(PPh_3)(\eta^1 - \eta^1 - \eta^2)$ (E)-CH=CHCH₂Un).

Introduction

There is considerable interest in using CO insertion reactions with organotransition metal complexes as a means of elaborating the organic ligands bound to the metal [1]. A recently reported result from our laboratory [2] shows that during the oxidatively catalyzed insertion of CO in an alkenyliron complex to form an acyliron complex, isomerization of the double bond and coordination of sulfur also occurred (eq. 1). As shown, two products were isolated from this reaction.



A generalized version [3] of eq. 1 is shown below (eq. 2). The reaction has two notable features. First, an acylmetal complex is formed, that, in general, can be



elaborated in a number of ways. For example, acyls can be cleaved from the metal to form esters [4], reduced to hydrocarbons to yield alkylmetal complexes [5], or alkylated at oxygen to form alkoxycarbene complexes [6]. Second, the stabilizing effect of the chelate ring should allow coordination of ligands, L', that otherwise would not coordinate to iron, if introduced as free ligands.

The goal of this work was to prepare new iron complexes that exploit these features of this general reaction (eq. 2). A large variety of alkenyliron complexes with appropriately placed, potential ligands (as in eq. 1) are available [2.4,7], but for the purposes of this initial study we decided to start with simpler alkyliron complexes.

Reported here is the synthesis of a chelated carbone-olefin complex that employs a CO insertion/olefin coordination rearrangement as the key step. Reactions of the carbone-olefin complex and related complexes are also reported, including ¹H NMR evidence for an unstable alkylidene-olefin complex.

Experimental

General procedure. All operations on complexes in solution were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen. All solvents except nitromethane were dried and distilled before use. Nitromethane (from Aldrich) was used as received. Chromatography was done on Alcoa F-20 alumina. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at either 90 or 300 MHz and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at either 20 or 75 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported as δ vs. Me₄Si with the solvent as internal reference. A refocused INEPT sequence [8] was used to aid in the assignment of all ¹³C NMR spectra of the chelated complexes. Elemental analyses were performed by Robertson Laboratory. Published procedures were used in the preparation of CpFe(CO)₂(η^1 -CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂) [3], CpFe(CO)₂(η^1 -CH₂CH₂C=CH) [3], CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^1 -COCH₂CH₂CH=CH₂) [3], K[CpFe(CO)₂] [9], Et₃OBF₄ [10], and Ph₂CuCNLi₂ [11]. The trimethylsilyl trifiate and the phenyllithium were obtained from Aldrich and used as received. A 450W Hanovia mercury vapor lamp was used for the photolyses.

 $CpFe(CO)_2(\eta'-CH_2CH_2CH=CH_2)$ (1a). ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -13°C): 208.8 (s, CO's), 129.5, 112.1 (s, s, C=C), 85.1 (s, Cp), 41.8 (s, FeCH₂CH₂), 2.0 (s, FeCH₂).

 $CpFe(CO)_2(\eta' - CH_2CH_2C \equiv CH)$ (1b). ^{1.5}C NMR (δ in CDCl₃, all s): 216.8 (CO's). 87.4 (CH), 85.1 (Cp), 67.4 ($C \equiv CH$). 24.9 (FeCH₂CH₂), 0.5 (FeCH₂).

 $CpFeCO(n^{1}-COCH_{2}CH_{2}CH=CH_{2})$ (2). A CH₃NO₂ solution (70 ml) of **1a** (8.0 g, 34 mmol) was stirred overnight at 49 °C. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was placed on an alumina column with 15 ml CH₂Cl₂. Elution with pentane moved unreacted **1a** and elution with CH₂Cl₂ moved **2**. Recovered **1a** was carried through this insertion and isolation procedure four more times. The combined yields of **2** were then recrystallized from hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (10/1) to give a pure yellow powder (6.6 g, 83%). This compound has been briefly mentioned previously [12a]: ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃): 4.89, 3.27, 2.62 (all 1, m, d, d, J 8, 12 Hz, CH=CH₂), 4.59 (5, s, Cp), 3.15, 2.73, 2.33, 0.63 (all 1, all m, CH₂CH₂); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν (C=O) 1960, ν (C=O) 1645; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -15 °C): 271.2 (s, C=O), 218.2 (s, C=O), 86.2 (s, Cp), 75.3, 69.4 (s, s, CH=CH₂), 44.2, 28.6 (s, s, CH₂'s); MS (EI, 15 eV): m/e 232 (M^+), 204 (M^+ - CO). Anal. Found: C, 56.68; H, 5.01. C₁₁H₁₂FeO₂ calcd.: C, 56.93; H, 5.21%.

[$CpFeCO(\eta^{-}C(OEt)CH_2CH_2CH=CH_2$)]BF₄ (3). Separate CH₂Cl₂ solutions of 2 (7.0 g, 30 mmol, 30 ml) and Et₃OBF₄ (5.7 g, 30 mmol, 30 ml) were cooled to -78°C. The Et₃OBF₄ solution was transferred via cannula to the iron complex solution, the cold bath was removed, and the mixture was stirred for 90 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the orange oily residue was taken up in ca. 500 ml warm hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (2/1). Upon cooling, **3** was obtained as an orange powder (9.7 g, 93%): ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃): 5.68, 4.04, 3.56, 3.38, 3.17, 2.86, 1.08 (all 1, all m, CH₂CH₂CH=CH₂), 5.06 (5, s, Cp), 4.62 (2, m, OCH₂), 1.67 (3, t, *J* 7 Hz, Me); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν (CO) 2010; ¹³C NMR (δ in CD₂Cl₂ at -15°C): 341.8 (s, Fe=C), 214.7 (s, C=O), 88.2 (s, Cp), 85.2 (s, CH), 78.3, 63.9, 54.2, 30.0 (all s, CH₂'s), 14.3 (s, Me). Anal. Found: C, 45.04; H, 4.74. C₁₃H₁₇BF₄FeO₂ calcd.: C, 44.88; H, 4.92%.

CpFeCO(η^{1} -C(OEt)HCH₂CH₂CH=CH₂) (4). A solution of NaBH₄ (23 mmol) and MeONa (47 mmol) was stirred in MeOH (100 ml) for 2 h and complex 3 (2.03 g, 5.84 mmol in CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml)) was added dropwise [6a]. After 0.5 h, this mixture was diluted with H₂O (500 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 35 ml). The combined CH₂Cl₂ portions were rinsed through an alumina plug and the solvent evaporated. Complex 4 was purified by dissolution in a minimum of pentane and filtration through Celite. Evaporation of the solvent yielded a spectroscopically pure, orange oil. This oil decomposes slowly at room temperature: ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃): 5.96, 2.92, 1.83 (1, 1, 1, m, d, d, J 8, 12 Hz, CH=CH₂), 4.50 (5, s, Cp), 4.40 (1, m, CH(OEt)), 3.37 (2, q, J 7 Hz, OCH₂), 1.82, 1.56, 0.61 (1, 2, 1, all m, CH₂CH₂), 1.12 (3, t, J 7 Hz, Me); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν (CO) 1949; ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆): 224.9 (s, CO), 86.9, 69.9 (s, s, CH's), 84.5 (s, Cp), 65.9 (vinyl CH₂), 42.3 (OCH₂), 38.3, 24.6 (CH₂CH₂), 16.2 (Me); MS(EI, 15 eV): m/e 262 (M^+), 234 (M^+ – CO).

Reaction of 4 and trimethylsilyl triflate. Complex 4 (10 mg, 38 μ mol) was dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ (0.3 ml) in an NMR tube, and the solution was frozen by submersing the tube in liquid nitrogen. Additional CD₂Cl₂ (0.2 ml) was added to the submersed tube, followed by trimethylsilyltriflate (8.5 mg, 7.4 μ l, 38 μ mol). The tube was then removed from the liquid nitrogen and placed in a dry ice/isopropanol bath. Upon thawing, the ether complex solution mixed with the trimethylsilyl triflate and turned deep red. After thawing, the tube was quickly transferred to an NMR spectrometer that was precooled to -80° C.

 $CpFeCO(\eta^{1}-(Z)-C(OEt)=CHCH_{2}CH=CH_{2})$ (5). Complex 3 (0.93 g, 2.7 mmol)

was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml), cooled to -78° C, and treated dropwise with 1 equiv. of PhLi. The solution was stirred for 3 h while slowly warming to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was extracted with hexane (2 × 25 ml). The extracts were filtered through Celite, and the solvent was evaporated to yield an orange oil (0.65 g, 2.5 mmol, 93%). This oil decomposes slowly at room temperature and rapidly on an alumina column: ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃): 5.19, 3.20, 2.31 (all 1, m, d, d, J 8, 12 Hz, CH=CH₂), 4.76 (1, br s, C(OEt)=CH). 4.61 (5, s, Cp). 3.78, 3.60 (1, 1, m, m, OCH₂), 2.69, 1.78 (1, 1, m, m, CH₂), 1.20 (3, t, J 7 Hz, CH₂Me); IR (cm⁻¹, thin film): ν (CO) 1955; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃, all s): 223.5 (C≡O), 176.6 (COEt), 109.3 (FeC=C), 85.3 (Cp), 75.7, 64.5 (CH₂=CH), 45.2 (OCH₂), 33.5 (CH₂), 15.2 (Me). MS (EI, 15 eV): m/e 260 (M^{-1}).

Reaction of 3 and $Ph_3CuCNLi_3$. Complex 3 (0.52 g, 1.5 mmol) was treated with 1 equiv. of $Ph_2CuCNLi_3$ in the same manner described for the preparation of 5. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the product mixture indicated the presence of 5 contaminated by a second complex. Both complexes decomposed slowly at room temperature and could not be chromatographed on alumina or silica.

CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η¹-*CH₂CH₃CH=CH₂)* (*ba*). Complex **1a** (4.03 g. 17.4 mmol) and PPh₃ (13.6 g, 52 mmol) were dissolved in benzene and photolyzed at 0 °C for 2.5 h. The solution was then reduced in volume to 15 ml and chromatographed on alumina with hexane/benzene (1/1). A broad orange band was collected, and the solvent was evaporated. The orange residue was recrystallized from hexane to give an orange powder (3.79 g, 47%): ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆): 7.2 (15. m. PPh₃), 6.03, 5.07, 4.93 (1, 1, 1, m, d, d, J 17. 9 Hz, CH=CH₂), 4.26 (5, s, Cp), 2.63, 2.35, 1.75, 1.01 (all 1, all m, FeCH₂CH₂): IR (cm⁻¹ in hexane): ν(CO) 1918; ⁴³C NMR (δ in CD₂Cl₂ at −15°C): 144.4, 110.4 (d, s, J 2 Hz, C=C), 136.9, 132.3, 129.6, 128.2 (all d, J 40, 10, 2, 9 Hz), 84.9 (d, J 1 Hz, Cp), 46.3, 3.9 (d, d, J 4, 18 Hz, FeCH₃CH₂). The CO resonance was not located. MS (EI, 15 eV): *m/e* 466 (*M*⁺). Anal. Found: C, 71.95; H, 5.78, C₂₈H₂₇FeOP calcd.; C, 72.12; H, 5.84%.

CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^{1} -*CH₃CH₂C*≡*CH*) (*6b*). This complex was prepared from **1b** (3.28 g, 14.3 mmol) in a manner outlined for **6a**. Recrystallization of the crude product from hexane yielded an orange powder (2.11 g, 32%): ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆): 7.2 (15, m, PPh₃), 4.03 (5, s, Cp), 2.69, 2.41, 1.94. 1.16 (1, 1, 2, 1, all m, CH₂CH₂C≡CH); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): *v*(CO) 1907; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -15°C): 222.9 (d, *J* 33 Hz, CO), 136.4, 132.9, 129.4, 128.1 (all d, *J* 41, 10, 2, 9 Hz, PPh₃), 89.5, 65.7 (d, s. *J* 3 Hz, C≡C), 84.7 (s. Cp), 26.1, 2.2 (d, d. *J* 3, 19 Hz, FeCH₂CH₂); MS (EI, 15 eV): *m/e* 464 (*M*⁺). Anal. Found: C, 72.30: H, 5.12. C₂₈H₂₅FeOP calcd.: C, 72.43: H, 5.43%.

 $CpFeCO(PPh_3)(\eta^1 - COCH_3CH_3CH=CH_3)$ (7a). This complex was prepared by a known procedure [3]. ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -20° C): 276.6 (d. J 23 Hz, C=O), 220.4 (d, J 31 Hz, C=O), 138.8, 113.8 (s, s, C=C), 137.9, 133.1, 129.6, 128.0 (all d, J 35, 10, 1, 10 Hz, PPh₃), 85.1 (s, Cp), 64.8, 29.5 (d, s, J 6 Hz, CH₂CH₂).

CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^{1} -*COCH₃CH₃C*≡*CH)* (*7b*). This complex was prepared from **1b** (4.13 g, 18.0 mmol) in the same manner as **7a**. Yellow-orange crystals were obtained (2.70 g, 30%): The ¹H NMR matches that in the literature [13], IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν (C≡O) 1915. ν (C=O) 1604; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -18° C): 274.8 (d, *J* 44 Hz, C=O), 220.2 (d, *J* 39 Hz, C≡O), 135.9, 133.1, 129.7, 129.0 (all d, *J* 43, 10, 2, 9 Hz, PPh₃), 85.1 (s, Cp), 67.8 (s, C≡CH), 62.8, 13.9 (d, s, *J* 6 Hz, CH₂CH₂). A resonance for CH was not found.

CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η¹-(*E*)-*CH*=*CHCH*₂*CH*=*CH*₂) (8*a*). Complex 7a (1.50 g, 3.04 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 ml), added to a flask holding Et₃OBF₄ (587 mg, 3.04 mmol), and stirred for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with benzene to remove any unreacted 7a. The residue, presumed to be the ethoxycarbene complex, was then carried through the NaBH₄/MeONa/MeOH reaction [6a] as described for the preparation of 4. An orange oil was obtained (1.39 g, 96%): ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃) 7.4 (15, m, PPh₃), 6.64, 5.41, 5.29, 4.78 (1, 1, 1, 2, all m, vinyl H's), 4.31 (5, s, Cp), 2.61 (2, m, CH₂); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν(CO) 1919; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -18° C): 221.8 (d, J 31 Hz, CO), 140.7, 140.0, 139.2 (d, s, d, J 32, 1 Hz, vinyl CH's), 135.9, 132.9, 129.3, 127.7 (all d, J 42, 10, 2, 10 Hz, PPh₃), 112.7 (s, =CH₂), 44.2 (d, J 3 Hz, CH₂), 84.5 (s, Cp); MS (EI, 15 eV): *m/e* 478 (*M*⁺), 450 (*M*⁺ – CO).

CpFeCO(PPh₃)(η^{l} -(*E*)-*CH*=*CHCH*₂*C*≡*CH*) (*8b*). This complex was prepared from **7b** (1.16 g, 2.36 mmol) in a manner outlined for **7a**. An orange oil was obtained (1.03 g, 92%): ¹H NMR (δ in CDCl₃): 7.3 (15, m, PPh₃), 6.91, 5.14 (1, 1, m, m, vinyl CH's), 4.33 (5, s, Cp), 2.79 (2, m, CH₂), 1.97 (1, m, alkynyl CH); IR (cm⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂): ν (CO) 1934; ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃ at -18° C): 221.6 (d, *J* 31 Hz, CO), 143.2 (d, *J* 30 Hz, FeC), 136.0, 132.9, 129.4, 127.8 (all d, *J* 42, 10, 2, 10 Hz, PPh₃), 84.5 (d, *J* 1 Hz, Cp), 84.3, 68.8 (d, s, *J* 1 Hz, C≡C), 27.6 (d, *J* 3 Hz, CH₂); MS (EI, 15 eV): *m/e* 476 (*M*⁺), 448 (*M*⁺ − CO). Anal. Found: C, 72.81; H, 5.63. C₂₉H₂₅FeOP calcd.: C, 73.12; H, 5.29%.

Results

The synthesis of the carbene-olefin complex 3 is outlined in Scheme 1. Attempts to oxidatively catalyze the CO insertion reaction of complexes 1a,1b analogous to the reaction shown in eq. 1 with $[Cp_2Fe]BF_4$ or Ce^{IV} did not appear successful. Heating 1a in THF or CH₃CN was only marginally successful, yielding less than 10% of the cyclized product (as judged by IR analysis of the reaction mixture). Attempts to effect the same reaction using 1% HBF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ [14] resulted in isolated yields of 10–20% of complex 2a. Finally, heating in CH₃NO₂ resulted in isolated yields of ca. 40%, with the starting material being recovered in 45–55% yields. Recycling of the starting material several times allowed an overall conversion of 1a to 2 of 83%.

Complex 2 was obtained as yellow crystals that were stable indefinitely at -20 °C, but slowly reverted back to 1a at room temperature. A sample of 2 was heated in CH₃NO₂ at 48 °C and monitored by IR. After 5 h, the equilibration with 1a appeared complete. ¹H NMR indicated the product ratio of 1a/2 as 56/44. Similar attempts to isolate the analogous cyclized acyl complex from the alkyne substituted complex 1b were not successful.

The IR spectrum of **2** shows a band in the terminal CO region (1960 cm⁻¹) and in the acyl CO region (1645 cm⁻¹). NMR data clearly demonstrate the coordination of the olefin to iron [12]. The uncomplexed vinyl carbon resonances in the ¹³C NMR for **1a** shift from δ 129.5 and 112.1 to δ 75.3 and 69.4 in **2**. An upfield shift in the ¹H NMR is also seen for the vinylic protons upon coordination.

Complex 2 was readily alkylated with Et_3OBF_4 to afford the chelated carbeneolefin complex 3. The reaction went cleanly at room temperature, in CH_2Cl_2 , with no starting material present after 2 h. As expected, the IR spectrum showed loss of



```
Scheme 1
```

the acyl CO band and the terminal CO band was shifted to a higher wave number (2010 cm⁻¹), typical for a cationic, monocarbonyl cyclopentadienyliron complex. The resonance at δ 341.8 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3 conclusively shows formation of a carbene complex [6b]. The complex is soluble in polar organic solvents and was obtained, after recrystallization, as fine orange crystals that appear to be stable indefinitely at room temperature in an inert atmosphere.

Reduction of 3 to the α -ether 4 was effected by reaction with NaBH₄ in MeOH/MeONa [6a]. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4 shows the loss of the carbene carbon resonance, and refocused INEPT experiments show the presence of a new resonance that is clearly assigned to the methine carbon atom. The upfield position of the vinylic carbon resonances indicate that the olefin is still coordinated to the iron. The α -ether was obtained as an orange oil that could be chromatographed on alumina, but decomposed over a period of several days at room temperature. The compound is stable at -20° C.

Complex 4 was treated with trimethylsilyl triflate in CD_2Cl_2 at $-78^{\circ}C$. Upon mixing, the solution turned from light orange to deep red. Observation of the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a complicated alkyl region, but a clear resonance at δ 15.5 was observed. This resonance slowly disappeared within 2–3 h while holding the sample at $-80^{\circ}C$ in the spectrometer. Proton substituted alkylideneiron complexes have been observed by other [6] to have highly deshielded alkylidenyl protons. It is most likely in this case that the low field resonance is due to the alkylidenyl proton from the alkylidene-olefin complex shown in Scheme 1.

The carbene-olefin complex 3 was deprotonated with PhLi to give the chelated alkenyl-olefin complex 5. ^{13}C NMR again indicates olefin coordination as well as typical [2,7] alkenyliron vinyl carbon resonances. The complex decomposes on silica and alumina and was purified by filtration of a pentane solution through Celite.



Scheme 2

Reaction of 3 with $Ph_2CuCNLi_2$ results in mixtures of 5 and a second complex, that was seen by ¹H NMR to have added a phenyl group. Attempted purification and/or separation of the two complexes invariably resulted in decomposition of them both.

Efforts were also directed at the synthesis of PPh₃ complexes analogous to those in Scheme 1. This work is shown in Scheme 2. Complexes **1a,1b** were converted to the new complexes **6a,6b** by the general method of photolysis in the presence of PPh₃ [15]. All attempts to isolate CO insertion/olefin coordination products (analogous to **2**), however, were unsuccessful. Complexes **1a,1b** were then converted to the known acyl complexes **7a,7b** [3,13], by heating in CH₃NO₂ in the presence of PPh₃. The acyliron complexes were then treated with Et₃OBF₄ to give, as yellow solids, the corresponding ethoxycarbene complexes. Photolysis of the carbene complexes at 0°C resulted in their decomposition to unidentifiable products. Finally, reaction of the ethoxycarbene complexes with a NaBH₄/MeOH/MeONa mixture resulted in the isolation of alkenyliron complexes **8a,8b**.

Discussion

The rearrangement of 1a to 2 was anticipated on the basis of results reported for similar complexes [3,12], but does not go to completion. In CH_3NO_2 , a solvent shown by Cutler to be particularly favorable for the CO insertion reaction [14], 1a and 2 are in equilibrium in about equal amounts. By separation and recycling of 1a, 2 can be prepared in high yield. The synthesis of "small amounts" of 2 has been reported [12a] previously. Complex 2 can exist (potentially) as two diastereomers

(each a racemic mixture of two enantiomers). However, because only one set of resonances is observed in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, only one diastereomer forms in the reaction. The isomer of **2** depicted in Scheme 1 is the diastereomer that minimizes interactions between the Cp ring and the olefin [12b]. As **1a** and **2** are equilibrating under the reaction conditions, the diastereomeric pair observed is the thermodynamically more stable one. It did not prove possible to cyclize the alkyne analog, **1b**.

Reaction of 2 with Et₃OBF₄ effects alkylation at oxygen to yield a chelated carbene-olefin complex. Carbene-olefin complexes have long been proposed as intermediates in olefin metathesis [16] and metal promoted cyclopropanation reactions [17]. There are still relatively few examples of these complexes [18]. The most closely related complex is {CpFeCO(η^2 -C₂H₄)[η^1 -C(OMe)(OC₆H₁₁)]} ' [18b]. As in complex 3, the majority of these examples have heteroatom stabilized metal–carbene bonds. Examples of complexes without this mode of stabilization are rare. Schrock and Grevels have reported the isolation of tantalum and tungsten alkylidene-olefin complexes, respectively [19].

The reduction of 3 to the α -ether 4 is interesting in that the hydride adds exclusively to the carbon carbon rather than adding at the η^2 -olefin. Cationic η^2 -olefin complexes are known to react readily with hydride reagents to give alkyl complexes [20]. Hydride addition to carbone carbon atoms for a variety of complexes is also known [6a,21]. The carbone carbon atom is the more reactive site in 3.

Treatment of 4 with trimethylsilyl triflate appears by ¹H NMR to yield the first example of a late transition metal alkylidene-olefin complex. This complex proved to be very unstable. Attempts were made to stabilize the alkylidene-olefin complex by substitution of the alkylidene proton with a π -donating phenyl group. Initial attempts to introduce a phenyl group at the carbene carbon, with PhLi, showed clean deprotonation at the β -carbon. Later attempts with phenylcuprates gave mixtures of products (including 5) that could not be separated due to their instability.

Stabilization of the alkylidene-olefin complex was also attempted by replacing CO with the strong donor ligand PPh₃ [6]. Plans to repeat the chemistry in Scheme 1 with PPh₃ substituted analogues were thwarted, however, by the lack of success in isolating CO insertion/olefin coordination products from **6a,6b**. An alternative sequence to make the PPh₃ substituted carbene-olefin complex was tested. Initial formation of a carbene complex, followed by photolytic removal of a CO ligand, and olefin coordination did not yield the desired product. Reduction of this carbene complex and its alkynyl analog yielded the vinyl complexes **8a,8b** rather than the expected α -ether alkenyliron complexes. Casey [6b] has also reported that CpFe(CO)₂(η^1 -C(Me)=CH₂). The *E*-stereochemistry of **8a,8b** was assigned on the bases of our recently published empirical rules that state [2] for complexes of the type CpFeCOL(η^1 -C(R)=CR₂) (L = PPh₃, P(OPh)₃) that P-C coupling is observed into the α and *E*-position R groups and not the *Z*-position R group. For **8a** and **8b**, J(P-C) is 3 Hz.

In conclusion, a CO insertion/olefin coordination rearrangement was used as the key step in the synthesis of a stable, chelated carbene-olefin complex. We have obtained ¹H NMR evidence for an unstable alkylidene-olefin complex. Attempts to form stable analogues of the alkylidene-olefin complex were not successful.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this project and the NSF (CHE-84-11172) and NIH (RR-02345) for funds supporting NMR instrumentation.

References

- 1 J.P. Collman, L.S. Hegedus, J.R. Norton, and R.G. Finke, Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry, University Science, Mill Valley, CA, 1987.
- 2 D.L. Reger, S.A. Klaeren, J.E. Babin and R.D. Adams, Organometallics, in press.
- 3 J.L. Roustan, J.Y. Merour, C. Charrier, J. Benaim and P. Cadiot, J. Organomet. Chem., 168 (1979) 61.
- 4 D.L. Reger, E. Mintz and L. Lebioda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 1940.
- 5 J.A. Van Doorn, C. Masters and H.C. Volger, J. Organomet. Chem., 105 (1976) 245.
- 6 (a) M. Brookhart, J.R. Tucker and G.R. Husk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 258; (b) C.P. Casey, W.H. Miles and H. Tukada, ibid., 107 (1985) 2924.
- 7 D.L. Reger, K.A. Belmore, E. Mintz and P.J. McElligott, Organometallics, 3 (1984) 134.
- 8 A.R. Garber, "INEPT", NMR Spectroscopy Application Note 6, 1983; IBM Instruments Inc., Danbury, CT.
- 9 J.S. Plotkin and S.G. Shore, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 284.
- 10 H. Meerwein, Organic Syntheses, 46 (1966) 113.
- 11 B.H. Lipshutz, J. Kozlowski and R.S. Wilhelm, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 2305.
- 12 (a) M.L.H. Green and M.J. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., A, (1971) 3220; (b) P. Lennon, W. Priester, A. Rosan, M. Madhavarao and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 139 (1977) C29.
- 13 N. Aktogu, H. Felkin, G.J. Baird, S.G. Davies and O. Watts, J. Organomet. Chem., 262 (1984) 49.
- 14 T.C. Forschner and A.R. Cutler, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 1247.
- 15 P.M. Treichel, R.L. Shubkin, K.W. Barnett and D. Reichard, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 1177.
- 16 (a) R.H. Grubbs, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 24 (1978) 1; (b) T.J. Katz, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 16 (1977) 283.
- 17 (a) H. Nozaki, H. Takaya, S. Moriuti and R. Noyori, Tetrahedron, 24 (1968) 3655; (b) W.R. Moser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 1135.
- (a) M.J. McGeary, T.L. Tonker and J.L. Templeton, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 2102, and ref. therein;
 (b) W. Priester and M. Rosenblum, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1978) 26;
 (c) C.P. Casey, N.W. Vollendorf and K.J. Haller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 3754;
 (d) C.P. Casey, N.L. Hornung and W.P. Kosar, ibid., 109 (1987) 4908.
- (a) A.J. Schultz, R.K. Brown, J.M. Williams and R.R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 169;
 (b) K. Angermund, F.W. Grevels, C. Kruger and V. Skibbe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 23 (1984) 904.
- (a) P. Lennon, M. Madhavarao, A. Rosan and M. Rosenblum, J. Organomet. Chem., 108 (1976) 93;
 (b) D.L. Reger and C.J. Coleman, Inorg. Chem., 18 (1979) 3155.
- 21 C.P. Casey, S.W. Polichnowski, A.J. Shuserman and C.R. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 7282.